

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,223	01/16/2001	Claude Laurent	Q62700	9943
7	11/20/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
•	Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. HINGTON, DC 20037-3213		TRAN A, PHI DIEU N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
4 Office Action Summers	09/759,223	LAURENT, CLAUDE	4
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phi D A	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed iirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133).	ition.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 A</u>	<u>ugust 2002</u> .		
	s action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims 	nce except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (atters, prosecution as to the merit i.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is is
4)⊠. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	ted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioriapplication from the International Bur* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			ation).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has	been received.	, -
Attachment(s)		- gg	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.		v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	- ·

Application/Control Number: 09/759,223

Art Unit: 3637

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 5/8/61 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 line 1 " of the type" is indefinite. It is improper claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,223

Art Unit: 3637

4. Claims 1-2, 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwenk et al (3739882).

Schwenk et al (figure 6) shows a structural member having a body (130, 170, 240) with a cavity, plastic reinforcing ribs (190,180) and means for fixing the ribs to the body, the fixing means having discrete regions (the part that contains plate 120) set back from at least one outer edge of the body, blocks (100) that are part of the same molding as the ribs which blocks envelop the outer edge in its set-back regions, the set back regions having stepped shapes with angles, the set back from two outer edges of the body on both sides of the cavity and blocks that are part of the same molding as the ribs and envelop the two edges in their set-back regions, the member being used for vehicle.

35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldbach et al (EP370342).

Goldbach et al (figure 1) shows a structural member having a body with a cavity, reinforcing ribs (7) in the cavity, means for fixing the ribs to the body, the fixing means comprise discrete regions set back from at least one outer edge of the body (the part covered by block 11,

Art Unit: 3637

figure 1) and blocks (11) that are part of the same molding as the ribs, the blocks envelop the outer edge in its set-back regions(27, figure 2), the set-back regions being formed by cutouts (figures 2-3) in the body.

Goldbach et al does not show the ribs being plastic and the body being metal.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Goldbach et al to show the ribs being plastic and the body being metal because plastic and metal are well-known material for forming ribs and body for a structural member as they are readily available and cheap.

7. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwenk et al(3739882).

Schwenk et al shows all the claimed limitations except for the set-back regions having at least some parts converging away from the body being trapezium-shaped.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schwenk et al to show the set-back regions having at least some parts converging away from the body being trapezium-shaped because examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalent of set-back with stepped shapes and setbacks with trapezium shaped that converge away from the body for their use in the structural art and the use of any of these known setback equivalents to encourage affixing the mold to the body would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different structural member designs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A November 14, 2002

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamamai